REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF

Dictionary Hill, Rezone Setback Requirements, R08-002; STP10-010; ER 08-19-003 AUGUST 30, 2010

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?					
	YES	NO	NOT APF	PLICAE ⊠	BLE/EXEMPT
Discussion: The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.					
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?					
YE:	S NO	NO	T APPLIC	ABLE/I	EXEMPT
Discussion: The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated June 21, 2010.					
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?					
	YES NO		NOT APF	PLICAE	BLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:					
The project will obtain its water supply from the Otay Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.					
IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:					
The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?				NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

AUGUST 30, 2010

- 2 -

Discussion:

R08-002; STP10-010

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

This project is currently in non-conformance with the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the RPO. Currently, the steep slopes on-site are not proposed in an open space easement because the steep slope provisions of the RPO do not apply to this project, since the project consists of previously created lots which will not be changed.

Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. Although the site supports sensitive species, no sensitive habitat lands were identified because the population of sensitive species are not regionally significant, they are impacted by existing edge effects, and will be appropriately mitigated through salvage and translocation. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property was surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist/historian, Philip de Barros of Professional Archaeological Services on May 7, 2007 and it was determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites. A light flake scatter, CA-SDI-4773, was recorded on this and adjacent properties in 1974. Considerable effort was taken to relocate any of the original scatter, however, no artifacts or features were identified during the current survey. Grading monitoring is not required, however, the project must comply with the San Diego County Grading, Clearing, and Watercourse Ordinance (§87.101-87.804), CEQA §15064.5(d), and §7050.5 of the Health & Safety Code. Section 87.429 of the Grading, Clearance, and Watercourse Ordinance requires the suspension of grading operations when human remains or Native American artifacts are encountered. The results of the survey are provided in an archaeological survey report titled, "Negative Cultural Resources Survey Report of a 1+-Acre Parcel South of San Carlos Street Between Maria and La Presa Avenues Spring Valley, San Diego County, California", dated May 10, 2007, prepared by Philip de Barros of Professional Archaeological Services.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)? **NOT APPLICABLE** Discussion: The WPO is not applicable because the project is a rezone proposing to change setbacks on nine existing residential lots. The project does not propose any development and is not proposing a storm drainage system. Therefore the project does not require a Storm Water Management Plan because it will not generate pollutants as defined under the WPO. VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance? **NOT APPLICABLE** YES NO Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because

review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.